UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

SHANE SAMSON,

Civil No. 07-2253 (PJS/AJB)

Petitioner,

٧.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AGENT: WARDEN HOLINKA,

Respondent.

Petitioner commenced this action by filing an application for habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter has been referred to this Court for report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 72.1. For the reasons discussed below, it is recommended that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

On May 10, 2007, Petitioner filed a habeas corpus petition that presented claims pertaining to his incarceration by the federal Bureau of Prisons. It was readily apparent to the Court, however, that Petitioner was not actually challenging the fact or duration of his confinement, but rather, he was challenging the <u>conditions</u> of his confinement. Because habeas corpus is not an appropriate remedy for a prisoner's "conditions of confinement" claims, the original petition was summarily dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases In The United States District Courts. (See Order dated May 14, 2007; [Docket No. 2].)

Petitioner was granted leave to file an amended pleading, to be prepared and submitted as a non-habeas civil rights complaint. He was also directed to pay the filing fee for a non-habeas civil action, or else apply for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ("IFP"), and pay the initial partial filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The Court's order

CASE 0:07-cv-02253-PJS-AJB Document 3 Filed 07/02/07 Page 2 of 2

expressly advised Petitioner that if he did not file an amended pleading, and pay his filing

fee, (or satisfy the requirements for proceeding IFP), by June 8, 2007, he would be deemed

to have abandoned this action, and it would be recommended that the action be dismissed

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to prosecute.

The deadline for complying with the Court's prior order has now expired, and

Petitioner has not filed a civil complaint, nor has he taken any action to resolve the filing fee

matter. Indeed, Petitioner has not communicated with the Court at all since he filed his

petition nearly two months ago. Therefore, it is now recommended, in accordance with the

Court's prior order, that Petitioner be deemed to have abandoned this action, and that the

action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). See also Link v.

Wabash Railroad Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (recognizing that a federal court has

the inherent authority to "manage [its] own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and

expeditious disposition of cases").

RECOMMENDATION

Based upon the above, and upon all the records and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

This action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated: June 29, 2007

s/ Arthur J. Boylan

ARTHUR J. BOYLAN

United States Magistrate Judge

Pursuant to Local Rule 72.2(b), any party may object to this Report and Recommendation by filing with the Clerk of Court, and by serving upon all parties, written objections which specifically identify the portions of the Report to which objections are made and the bases for each objection. This Report and Recommendation does not constitute an order or judgment from the District Court and it is therefore not directly appealable to the Circuit Court of Appeals. Written objections must be filed with the Court before July 16, 2007.

2